

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O: Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,691	•	03/15/2004	Steve Wood	061270-0946 1736	0946 1736
22428	7590	11/15/2005	EXAMINER		INER
FOLEY AN	D LARI	ONER LLP	NGUYEN, KIEN T		
SUITE 500					
3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON DC	20007	3711		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TW				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/799,691	WOOD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kien T. Nguyen	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	ovember 2005.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
- 10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list (	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 11/03/05.	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/799,691

Art Unit: 3711

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 13-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin U.S. Patent 5,553,337 in view of Steiner U.S. Patent 2,769,276.

Lin disclosed a swing comprising a support structure (22); components that are movable relative to the support structure including a seat (11), a seat hanger (3) supporting the seat; and a hub (31) supporting the seat hanger. It is noted that Lin failed to teach an object hanger as set forth in these claims. However, Steiner disclosed an object hanger that could be attached to the swing similar to Lin. The object hanger has a support member (11); a hanger (18) coupled to the support member; at least one decorative object (21) coupled to the hanger; the object hanger further comprises a mounting bracket (22) configured to engage the seat (25), the support member (21) is an arm having a proximal end coupled to the bracket and a distal end configured to engage the hanger (18); the support member includes a nonmotorized structure (13) to impart rotating motion to the decorative object; the hanger includes a non-motorized structure (15) to impart rotating motion to the decorative object; the support member is positionable above the seat such that a child seated in the seat can interact with the decorative object; the hanger includes a plurality of radially extending arms (18) and each arm supports a respective decorative object. Therefore,

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the seat of Lin with the object hanger as taught by Steiner for the purpose of providing entertainment for a child seating in the seat.

Claim 7, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin in view of Sturman U.S. Patent 2,506,001 and Stubbmann U.S. Patent 3,978,610.

It is noted that Lin failed to teach the object hanger as recited in claims 7, 11, and 12; and a tray as one of the components as recited in claim 10. However, Stubbmann disclosed an object hanger having a support member (26) as a toy bar, with each end of the toy bar coupled to the seat (11); and Sturman disclosed the use of a tray (7) coupled to the seat (5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the seat of Lin with the toy bar and tray as taught by Sturman and Stubbmann, respectively, for the purpose of providing additional entertainment for the child.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,691

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Ngwyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711

Ktn